

NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

INTERNATIONAL DATA ON EDUCATIONAL
ATTAINMENT UPDATES AND IMPLICATIONS

Robert J. Barro
Jong-Wha Lee

Working Paper 7911
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w7911>

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH
1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
September 2000

We are grateful to Francis Green, Hilary Steedman, and two anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestions. We also thank the participants of the "Skill Measurement and Economic Analysis- An International Conference," at University of Kent at Canterbury, England, March 2000, for their comments. This work was prepared while Jong-Wha Lee was visiting the Center for International Development at Harvard University in 1999. He thanks the Center for its hospitality. This research has been supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation. The data are available at the web site of the Center for International Development at Harvard University, <http://www.cid.harvard.edu/ciddata/ciddata.html>. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

© 2000 by Robert J. Barro and Jong-Wha Lee. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

International Data on Educational Attainment Updates and Implications

Robert J. Barro and Jong-Wha Lee

NBER Working Paper No. 7911

September 2000

JEL No. O11, O40, I20

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a data set that improves the measurement of educational attainment for a broad group of countries. We extend our previous estimates of educational attainment for the population over age 15 and over age 25 up to 1995 and provide projections for 2000. We discuss the estimation method for the measures of educational attainment and relate our estimates to alternative international measures of human capital stocks.

Robert J. Barro
Department of Economics
Harvard University
Littauer 120, Cambridge
MA 02138 USA
and NBER
rbarro@harvard.edu

Jong-Wha Lee
Economics Department
Korea University
Anam-Dong, Sungbuk-Ku
Seoul 136-701, Korea
jongwha@korea.ac.kr

1. Introduction

Human capital, particularly that attained through education, has been emphasized as a critical determinant of economic progress. A greater amount of educational attainment indicates more skilled and more productive workers, who in turn increase an economy's output of goods and services. An abundance of well-educated human resources also helps to facilitate the absorption of advanced technology from developed countries. In addition, the level and distribution of educational attainment has a strong impact on social outcomes, such as child mortality, fertility, education of children, and income distribution.

In order to quantify the relationship between educational attainment and economic and social outcome variables, there have been a number of attempts to measure educational attainment across countries. Earlier empirical studies used school enrollment ratios or literacy rates. These data are widely available but do not adequately measure the aggregate stock of human capital available contemporaneously as an input to production.

Our earlier study filled this gap in data by measuring educational attainment for a broad group of countries.¹ The figures were constructed at five-year intervals from 1960 to 1985 (Barro and Lee, 1993). The data showed the distribution of educational attainment of the adult population aged 25 and over by sex at seven levels of schooling. In our subsequent work (Barro and Lee, 1996), we provided an update on the data for the population aged 25 and over up to 1990 and also constructed estimates of attainment for

¹ Earlier attempts to construct measure of educational attainment for international comparisons include Psacharopoulos and Ariagada (1986), Lau et al. (1991), and Nehru et al. (1995). Their measures were hampered by more limited coverage of data and by potentially larger measurement errors than ours (see the discussion in footnote 1 of Barro and Lee (1996)).

the population aged 15 and over. The over-15 age group corresponds better to the labor force for many developing countries.

In this paper, we provide a further update of educational attainment up to 1995 and also construct projections to 2000. This data set improves on our earlier estimates in a number of respects. First, our fill-in procedure for missing census/survey observations now uses gross enrollment rates, adjusted for repeaters. This measure reflects the inflows of new school graduates to existing educational stocks more accurately than the usual gross or net enrollment ratios. Second, in the construction of average years of schooling, we now take account of changes of school duration over time within countries.

Our data set on educational attainment provides good measures of the human capital stock for a broad number of countries.² The data set comprises at least one observation for 142 economies, of which 107 have complete information at five-year intervals from 1960 to 2000. The percentage of the population who have successfully completed a given level of schooling—say secondary, tertiary, or post-primary schooling—is a straightforward way to show the population's attainment of skills and knowledge associated with a particular level of education. In practice, however, each cycle of education has significant variation in duration across countries. We take account of this variation by using information on the typical duration of each level of schooling within countries. With these data we can also construct measures of average years of schooling at all levels for each country.

² Our estimates of educational attainment have been used in many previous studies. Empirical work that uses our data set includes Rajan and Zingales (1998) and Ramey and Ramey (1995) in *American Economic Review*; Barro (1999) in *Journal of Political Economy*; Easterly and Levine (1998) and Hall and Jones (1999) in *Quarterly Journal of Economics*; and Sachs and Warner (1995) in *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*. Up to February 2000, our papers on

Because human capital is multifaceted and includes a complex set of human attributes, the stock of human capital held by individuals is hard to measure with precision in a quantitative form. Educational attainment is at best a proxy for the component of the human capital stock obtained at schools. In section 4, we relate our measure of educational attainment to alternative measures of human capital, such as international test scores, results from the international adult literacy survey, and estimates of labor-market outcomes. We also compare our numbers to the OECD's recent estimates of educational attainment.

2. The Estimation of Educational Attainment

This section summarizes the main procedure for constructing the estimates of human capital stock and discusses the modifications that have been made in the present update.

2.1. Estimation Method

The estimation procedure is a perpetual inventory method, using the census/survey observations on attainment as benchmark stocks and new school entrants as flows that are added to the stocks with an appropriate time lag.

The benchmark figures on school attainment have been collected, as before, from census/survey information, as compiled by UNESCO and other sources. The census/survey figures report the distribution of educational attainment in the population stratified by age and by sex in, for most cases, six categories: no formal education, incomplete primary, complete primary, first cycle of secondary, secondary cycle of

educational attainment data (Barro and Lee, 1993 and 1996) have been cited at least 90 times, according to the *Social Science Citations Index*.

secondary, and tertiary.³ The present data set adds more census information for 1990 and 1995 and updates the estimates of educational attainment to 2000. This data set also includes new observations for the transitional economies that became independent in the 1990s.

Tables 1 and 2 summarize the coverage of the census data for two groups of the total population—aged 15 and over and aged 25 and over. Table 1 shows the distribution of countries by the number of census/survey observations available since 1950. For persons aged 15 and over, 141 countries have at least 1 observation, and 72 countries have 3 or more observations. Table 2 shows the distribution of numbers of observations by census-survey year since 1950 (where the underlying figures are applied to the nearest 5-year value). For the population over age 15, 59 observations are available for 1960, 62 for 1970, 75 for 1980, and 56 for 1990. The total number of observations is 379, of which 354 are between 1960 and 1995. These 354 data points are used for the benchmark figures on educational attainment.

We fill in most of the missing observations on school attainment by using information on school-enrollment ratios and the structure of population by age groups. Following the perpetual inventory method, we construct current flows of adult population that are added to the benchmark stocks. The formulas for the various levels of schooling for the population aged 15 and over are as follows.⁴

$$H_{0,t} = H_{0,t-5}(1 - \delta_t) + L15_t * (1 - PRI_{t-5}) \quad (1)$$

³ The classification of levels of education is based on the common criterion for international comparison that was adopted in 1976 as the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED, 1976). Countries may not always classify diplomas and qualifications at the same ISCED levels, so the UNESCO classification may differ from the national classification.

⁴ For the procedure applied to the population aged 25 and over, see Barro and Lee (1993, part II).

$$H_{1,t} = H_{1,t-5}(1 - \delta_t) + L15_t * (PRI_{t-5} - SEC_t) \quad (2)$$

$$H_{2,t} = H_{2,t-5}(1 - \delta_t) + L15_t * SEC_t - L20_t * HIGH_t \quad (3)$$

$$H_{3,t} = H_{3,t-5}(1 - \delta_t) + L20_t * HIGH_t \quad (4)$$

where H_{jt} is the number of persons aged 15 and over for whom j is the highest level of schooling attained; $j=0$ for no school, 1 for primary, 2 for secondary, and 3 for higher. $L15$ denotes the number of persons aged 15-19 and $L20$ denotes the number of persons aged 20-24. The variables PRI , SEC , and $HIGH$ are the enrollment ratios for primary, secondary, and higher schools, respectively. The enrollment ratios are the gross ratios adjusted for school repeaters, as we will explain in the next section. The assumption in equations (1)-(4) is that persons aged 15-19 would have received primary schooling 5 years earlier (if they were enrolled) and would receive secondary education contemporaneously. Persons aged 20-24 would receive higher schooling contemporaneously. The variable δ_t is the mortality rate for persons aged 15 and over and is estimated from

$$\delta_t = (L15_t + L_{t-5} - L_t) / L_{t-5} \quad (5)$$

where L_t is the total population aged 15 and over. The assumption in equations (1)-(4), which is not entirely accurate, is that the mortality rate is independent of the level of schooling attained.⁵

⁵ In a typical country in which educational attainment is growing, mortality would be higher for the older people who are less educated. Then the assumption of uniform mortality can cause a downward bias in the estimation of the total educational stock. Unfortunately, the limitation of data on age-specific education levels and mortality rates by age group do not allow us to compute specific mortality rates of population by levels of education.

Substitution from equation (5) into equations (1)-(4) yields the formulas that we use to fill in the cells for the attainment ratios, $h_{jt} = H_{jt}/L_t$:

$$h_{0,t} \equiv H_{0,t} / L_t = h_{0,t-5} [1 - (L15_t / L_t)] + (L15_t / L_t) * (1 - PRI_{t-5}) \quad (6)$$

$$h_{1,t} \equiv H_{1,t} / L_t = h_{1,t-5} [1 - (L15_t / L_t)] + (L15_t / L_t) * (PRI_{t-5} - SEC_t) \quad (7)$$

$$h_{2,t} \equiv H_{2,t} / L_t = h_{2,t-5} [1 - (L15_t / L_t)] + (L15_t / L_t) * SEC_t - (L20_t / L_t) * HIGH_t \quad (8)$$

$$h_{3,t} \equiv H_{3,t} / L_t = h_{3,t-5} [1 - (L15_t / L_t)] + (L20_t / L_t) * HIGH_t \quad (9)$$

The application of equations (6)-(9) generates our panel data set on educational attainment for the population aged 15 and over from 1960 to 2000 at the four broad levels of schooling: no school, some primary, some secondary, and some higher.⁶ We then break down the three levels of schooling into incomplete and complete education by using estimates of completion ratios.⁷

We use the same sources and methodology to construct a panel data set on the educational attainment of females over age 15 and over age 25 at five-year intervals from 1960 to 2000.

The data on the distribution of educational attainment among the population, combined with the information for each country on the duration of school at each level,

⁶ Data on enrollment rates are available from UNESCO and data on the structure of population by age are from United Nations. For the projections of educational attainment for 2000, we use the projections on the population structure for 2000 provided by the United Nations.

⁷ The completion ratios for the age 25 and over population group are described in Barro and Lee (1993, Tables 4, 5, and 7). The completion ratios for the age 15 and over group are reconstructed from those for the age 25 and over group. The adjustment is that secondary-school enrollees aged 15-20 are treated as incompletely educated at the secondary level, and higher-school enrollees aged 20-24 are treated as incompletely educated at the higher level.

generate the number of years of schooling achieved by the average person at the various levels and at all levels of schooling combined.

2.2. Modifications

The present estimates and projections improve on earlier data by making a few modifications in the fill-in procedure of missing observations and in the construction of average years of schooling.

2.2.1. Adjusted gross enrollment ratios for the fill-in procedure

Our procedure for filling in missing observations requires school enrollment rates. In the first version of the data set (Barro and Lee, 1993), we used the gross enrollment ratio, which is defined as the ratio of all persons enrolled at a given level of schooling to the population of the age group that national regulation or custom dictates should be enrolled at that level. For example, the total registered students in primary school are typically compared with the population aged 6-11 years. However, the gross ratios will overstate the accumulation of human capital when students repeat grades.

In the next revision (Barro and Lee, 1996), we used the net enrollment ratio, which is defined as the ratio of students at a given level of schooling in the designated age group to the total population of that age group. For example, the registered students aged 6-11 years in primary school are compared with the total population aged 6-11 years. Accordingly, this concept does not count students in primary school who are younger than 6 or older than 11.

Net enrollment ratios also introduce measurement error if there are under- or over-aged children starting each level of education. If a proportion of the population enrolls at a given level at an age consistently earlier or later than that prescribed by regulation and consequently finishes school earlier or later, then net enrollment ratios understate the accumulation of schooling capital. Students who start school early or late constitute a significant fraction of total enrollments in many countries. For instance, for 12 Sub-Saharan African countries, a large fraction—between 10 and 33%—of enrollment in grade 1 of primary education is made up of students younger than the official entrance age. In 31 countries, at least 50% of the students enrolled in grade 1 of primary school are older than the prescribed entry age (Gajraj, 1992). Although the over-aged students enrolled in this grade are due in part to repetition, the incidence of a high proportion of over-aged students in countries where the proportion of repeaters is small demonstrates the importance of late entry.

In response to this problem, we have revised our fill-in procedure to use 'adjusted gross enrollment ratios,' instead of the usual gross or net ratios, for primary and secondary schools. (We use the gross ratios for tertiary schools.) We construct the new ratios by adjusting the gross enrollment ratios for repetition rates.⁸

2.2.2. Changes in the duration of years of schooling

In the previous data set, we provided average years of schooling—at each level and at all levels of schooling combined—as an aggregate measure of the educational stock. To

⁸ See Lee and Barro (1997) for a discussion of the repetition data. Although a proper accounting of the net inflows to the human capital stock would also deduct dropouts from gross enrollments, the effect from dropouts is already taken into account by our measures of incomplete attainment at primary and secondary schools.

construct the average years of schooling, we used each country's typical duration of years of schooling at each level. For example, we assumed that the population who completed primary school obtained the country's full duration of primary schooling (Barro and Lee, 1993, p.387). However, since our procedure used each country's duration data for 1965, we did not take account of changes over time in a country's typical duration of schooling at each level. This approach creates measurement error, because a significant number of countries have altered the durations of their school systems. Over the last three decades, 32 countries have changed at least once the typical duration of schooling at the primary or secondary levels (see UNESCO, *Statistical Yearbook*, various years).⁹

In the present revision, we take account of changes in the duration of schooling within countries. These changes would take time to become effective because the pupils who have received education in the new system will be new entrants into the adult population with a long time lag. We assume that changes in the duration of schooling at primary level apply to new entrants into the population over age 15 in 10 years and into the population over age 25 in 20 years, while the changes at secondary level become effective for the population over age 15 in 5 years and for the population over age 25 in 15 years,.

3. The Complete Data Set on Educational Attainment, 1960-2000

⁹ The direction of changes in duration varies for individual countries. For some countries, duration of schooling at the primary or secondary levels increased, while it decreased for others. For instance, El Salvador increased duration of primary schooling from 6 to 9 years in 1971. In contrast, Sri Lanka reduced duration of primary schooling from 8 to 5 years in 1970 (and then changed it again to 6 years in 1978). For most cases, changes in duration at the primary and secondary schooling occurred together; for example, when primary duration increased, secondary duration decreased, and vice versa.

Appendix Tables A.1- A.2 contain the full data set on attainment at the seven levels of schooling for the overall population over age 25 and over age 15. Appendix Tables A.3- A.4 report the same estimates for the female population. The Tables also report the average number of years of schooling achieved by the average person in each country.¹⁰ The data set comprises at least one observation for 142 economies.

Table 3 summarizes the schooling data by region from 1960 to 2000 for 107 countries that have complete information.¹¹ The table considers three broad groups—23 advanced countries, 11 transitional economies (TEs), and 73 developing countries. The developing group is further broken down into five regions: Middle East/North Africa (11 countries), Sub-Saharan Africa (22), Latin America/Caribbean (23), East Asia/Pacific (10), and South Asia (7). Regional averages are computed by weighting each country's observation by its share of the regional population aged 25 and over.

Table 3 shows that educational progress has continued in most regions except the transitional economies in the 1990s. For the 23 advanced countries, the average years of schooling for persons aged 25 and over increased from 9.3 years in 1990 to 9.6 years in 1995 and are forecasted to increase further to 9.8 years in 2000. For the 73 developing countries, the average years of attainment grew by 23% from 4.0 years in 1990 to 4.9 years in 2000. In the 11 TEs, the average years of schooling remained at the level of 10.0 years in the 1990s. Among the developing regions, Sub-Saharan Africa and Middle

¹⁰ The Appendix Tables and the complete panel data set including the average number of years of schooling at the various levels are available from the web site of the Center for International Development at Harvard University (<http://www2.cid.harvard.edu/ciddata/>).

¹¹ New transitional economies including Croatia, Czech Republic, Slovakia, and Slovenia are included as independent countries since 1995, replacing the former Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia. The former Soviet Union is replaced by the Russian Republic since 1995.

East/North Africa showed the strongest progress, growing by about 35% in the 1990s from 2.8 to 3.8 years and from 3.8 to 5.1 years, respectively.

Although the educational progress of the developing region as a whole has been relatively strong over the last decade, the education level in this region still lags far behind the advanced and TE regions. For the developing countries, the projections show that in 2000, 37% of the population aged 25 and over still had no formal schooling and only 27% had some secondary education. For the advanced and transition countries groups, in contrast, about two-thirds of the population over age 25 had at least some secondary schooling.

Table 4 presents the time-series data on educational attainment by group for the population aged 15 and over. The regional patterns are similar to those for the population aged 25 and over. For most regions, the average years of schooling for the aged 15 and over population group are slightly higher than those for the aged 25 and over group. For the developing countries as a whole in 2000, the population aged 15 and over is projected to have an average school attainment of 5.1 years, compared to 4.9 years for the population aged 25 and over.

We have also constructed the data on educational attainment for the female population over age 25 and over age 15. Table 5 uses these results and compares the regional figures for females with those for males for the period from 1960 to 2000 by region. The table shows the "gender ratio," defined as the ratio of female to male attainment (expressed as a percentage). In most country groups, there has been a substantial rise in gender equality over the last decade. In the developing countries as a whole, the ratio rose from 63% in 1990 to 67% in 1995 and 70% in 2000 for the

population aged 25 and over. The ratio increased from 69% in 1990 to 73% in 2000 for the population aged 15 and over. During this period, the ratios rose notably in Middle East/North Africa (57% to 68% for the population over age 25) and South Asia (44% to 53% for the same age group). Note, however, that the gender gap in education is still large in these regions, compared to those in the advanced, TEs, and Latin American regions, where the ratios are typically well over 90%. Among all regions, the transitional economies group is forecasted to have the highest gender ratio, reaching 105% in 2000 for the population aged 15 and over. Despite the upward trends of the ratios in most regions, the "world" average ratio remained stable at around 85% over the 1990s. This apparent inconsistency is attributed to the fact that since 1995 the "world" does not include the former Soviet Union countries except Russia, which have relatively high gender ratios.¹²

4. Comparisons with Alternative Measures of the Human Capital Stock

Our estimates of educational attainment provide a reasonable proxy for the stock of schooling capital for a broad group of countries. However, these data have a number of shortcomings. First, the measure of educational attainment does not take account of the skills and experience gained by individuals after their formal education. Second, the measure does not directly measure the human skills obtained at schools and, specifically, does not take account of differences in the quality of schooling across countries. In this section, we compare our concept of educational attainment with alternative measures of the stock of human capital.

¹² When simple averages rather than population-weighted averages are used, the gender ratios for the population aged 15 and over rose from 86.4 in 1990 to 88.1 in 1995 and then slightly

4. 1. International Test Scores by Students

An alternative measure of educational stock, which is considered to reflect variations of educational quality across country, is scores on internationally comparable tests of educational achievement. Conceptually, the quality of education is reflected in the performance of students and graduates. One indicator of schooling quality is students' scores on internationally comparable tests. Hanushek and Kimko (2000) constructed a cross-section data set of international test scores in the subjects of science and mathematics for 39 countries. Lee and Barro (1997) have compiled test scores on the examinations in science, mathematics, and reading that have been conducted in various years for up to 58 countries by the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) and the International Assessment of Educational Progress (IAEP). These studies cover primary or secondary students of the same age or grade group, such as age 9, age 13, and pupils in the last year of secondary education. Since the tests are carefully designed to ensure international comparability, the test scores would capture the cross-country variations in cognitive skills of the students and thereby measure differences in the quality of the future labor force. Hanushek and Kimko (2000) and Barro (2000) find that test scores are positively related to growth rates of real per capita GDP in cross-country regressions. One shortcoming of these data, however, is that the observations apply to different years and are most abundant for the 1990s. The sample sizes are also much smaller than those for average years of schooling.

Table 6 shows the average test scores on mathematics and science for the students at the seventh grade for 39 countries that participated in the Third International

increased to 88.7 in 2000.

Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) in 1994 and 1995. The scores are expressed on proficiency scales (scales ranging from 0 to 1000, with a mean of 500 and a standard deviation of 100). Among the 39 participating countries, students in the four East Asian economies, Singapore, Korea, Japan, and Hong Kong, showed the highest achievement in Mathematics. Their average scores, ranging from 564 for Hong Kong to 601 for Singapore, are well above the international average of 484. In contrast, South Africa and Colombia performed significantly less well than the other countries, with means below 400. The pattern of the country performances is similar for science achievement. The correlation between the two test scores is 0.85.

The correlation between these students' test scores and average years of schooling is not very high. The correlation of average years of schooling in 1995 with the mathematics or science test score is 0.38. Both average years of schooling and test-scores variables turn out to be statistically significant in cross-country growth regressions (Hanushek and Kimko, 2000). This result suggests that both variables provide useful information about a country's education stock—the test scores for quality of schooling and the average years of schooling for quantity of schooling.

4. 2. International Adult Literacy Test

Although the test scores of students reflect the quality of schooling and, hence, indicate the quality of the labor force, they do not directly measure the educational capital held by a country's working-age population. Knowledge can be gained or lost after the completion of formal education. Ideally, tests of cognitive ability would be administered to adults, as well as to students. Recently, the International Adult Literacy Survey

(IALS) has, for the first time, provided a direct comparison of certain work-related skills in the adult populations of various countries.

Although literacy was previously treated as a dichotomous concept—either literate or not—the OECD study considered the multifaceted nature of literacy and made efforts to measure directly the skills of the adult population.¹³ Literacy was measured in three domains—prose literacy, document literacy, and quantitative literacy. The literacy skills were evaluated in each country through detailed interviews with a sample of 2,000 and 8,000 persons in the working-age population. Results were reported on a scale from 0 to 500 in each of the three domains.¹⁴

The IALS study is still in early stages and covers only 12 OECD countries. Seven countries participated in the first Survey—Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, Poland, Sweden, Switzerland (French-speaking and German-speaking, separately), and the United States. Five additional countries participated later—Australia, Belgium (Flanders), Ireland, New Zealand, and the United Kingdom (see OECD and Statistics Canada, 1995, and OECD and Human Resources Development Canada, 1998). In addition to the limited coverage of the data, the IALS study has been criticized BECAUSE OF measurement errors and problems in modeling techniques (see Blum, Goldstein, and Gu`rin-Pace, 2000).

Table 6 shows the mean scores in each of the three domains for the 12 OECD countries. Sweden was the best performer in all domains, while Poland was the worst in

¹³ The IALS defines "literacy" in terms of particular skills of adults "using printed and written information to function in society, to achieve one's goals, and to develop one's knowledge and potential" (OECD and Statistics Canada, 1995, p.3).

¹⁴ For analytical purposes, the scores were also reported by five levels of literacy: Level 1 (0 to 225 points) indicates persons with very poor literacy skills, while Levels 4-5 (326-500 points)

all domains. The scores among the three domains are highly correlated, with correlation coefficients over 0.93.

One surprising observation is the significant discrepancy in achievement between students and adults. Figure 1 depicts the TIMMS mathematics score and the IALS quantitative literacy score. The correlation between the two scores is only 0.07 in the common sample of 11 countries. In the scatter plot, however, Sweden seems to show an exceptionally large gap between the two test scores. The Swedish adults were the best performers in the quantitative literacy test, but the seventh-grade students showed very poor performance in the mathematics test in 1995.¹⁵

Studies based on the IALS data show that these measures of literacy have a significant relation with gross earnings of individuals within a country, independently from the effects of education (OECD and Human Resources Development Canada, 1998).

4. 3. Estimates of the Market Value of Human Capital

Another approach to measuring the stock of human capital is to assess differences in labor-market outcomes, such as earnings or employment of educated workers, that are attributable to the individuals' schooling. Along this line, there have been attempts to measure the human capital stock in terms of its market value. A labor-income-based measure is derived by weighting different segments of the workforce by the ratio of earnings at different levels of education. Gollop and Jorgenson (1980), Jorgenson,

indicate that persons have the capacity to use higher-order thinking and information-processing skills.

¹⁵ The Swedish students at age 13 also showed below-average achievement in the Second International Mathematics Study that was conducted for 20 countries in 1982. In contrast, in the same test, the 12th grade students did very well, with the average score only lower than that of

Gollop, and Fraumeni (1987), and Mulligan and Sala-I-Martin (1995) used this method to estimate the human-capital stock of the United States. This measure, however, is not widely applicable to international comparisons due to the limited availability of detailed wage data for most countries.

A related issue is whether estimated rates of return, rather than durations of school, should be used as weights in the construction of aggregate human capital. Collins and Bosworth (1996) and Pritchett (1996) employed this method to construct measures of aggregate educational attainment. Since the estimated rates of return measure productivity of education at each level, this measure is conceptually better than average years of schooling. A measure of average years of schooling assumes unrealistically that a year of education adds a constant quantity of human capital, whether undertaken by a primary pupil or a college student. One problem with the measure aggregated by rates of return, however, is that the available estimates of returns to schooling are not always accurate. For instance, the estimates may over-state returns because of the likely positive correlation between schooling and unmeasured characteristics such as ability. The significant variations in the available estimates for an individual country show that the estimates of returns are very sensitive to assumptions about social benefits, forgone earnings of students, and so on.

4. 4. OECD Estimates of Educational Attainment

The OECD has also compiled data on educational attainment of the population in the 1990s. Most data come from labor-force surveys based on samples of households or

Finland among the OECD countries. This fact suggests that students attain significant quantitative skills at the secondary level.

individuals, in contrast to the national censuses in the UNESCO database (see OECD, 1998b, pp.377-79). For the years around 1995, the OECD data set covers 32 countries—24 OECD countries and 8 non-OECD countries.

This data set presents the percentages of the population or labor force aged between 25 and 64 for whom the highest completed level of education falls into four categories: below upper-secondary education, upper-secondary education, non-university tertiary education, and university-level education.¹⁶ One advantage of these estimates is that the population group covered corresponds closely to the labor force in the typical OECD country. However, the age group is less appropriate for developing countries, in which a substantial fraction of persons aged between 15 and 24 are in the workforce. The OECD estimates of educational attainment correspond reasonably well to our numbers. Table 7 compares the two measures in terms of the percentage of the adult population aged 25 to 64 for the OECD and aged 25 and over in our measure by the level of schooling. The first OECD category—below upper secondary—should correspond roughly to the sum of our first three categories: no schooling, primary schooling, and partial secondary. In the common sample of 31 countries, the correlation between the two estimates is 0.78. The OECD estimates of the population attaining upper secondary or higher education are also highly correlated with our measure of average years of schooling. The correlation is 0.87.

Nonetheless, there are significant differences between the OECD and our data for some countries. For several countries, the OECD estimates show that the adult population had much higher attainment at upper-secondary and above than our estimates.

¹⁶ The OECD publication also provides estimates for educational attainment of the population by sex and by disaggregated age groups, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, and 55-64.

For example, the OECD estimate shows that about 84% of the adult population in Germany had attained education at the upper-secondary or tertiary level in 1995, while our estimate indicates that the corresponding number is only 48%. The discrepancy derives, in many cases, from the different classification between the OECD and the UNESCO sources. There is disagreement between international organizations about the allocation of different education and training to the categories of the international standard classification (Steedman, 1999). Although the ISCED provides guidance on which qualifications and stages of education should be assigned to the ISCED categories, the ISCED classifications do not fully reflect the heterogeneity of educational systems, in particular of vocational and non-academic educational training, across countries. In addition, when conflicting interpretations are possible, national governments can divide or reallocate qualification categories based on political considerations. Steedman (2000) points out that the UK chooses a definition of the ISCED levels that gives the impression that the education system produces a large supply of skills. Another source of the discrepancy is that our data refer to the population aged 25 and above, whereas the OECD data are for the population aged between 25 and 64. Since the population aged 65 and above have in general lower attainment than the younger population group, this difference would tend to make the OECD figures on upper secondary or tertiary attainment higher than our corresponding numbers. Further investigations on the exact relationship between our estimates and the OECD data on educational attainment are still in progress.¹⁷

¹⁷ Since the OECD and the UNESCO sources use different population groups and different school classifications, we have not utilized the OECD database for the estimation of our measure of educational attainment. The OECD sources are available only for the 1990s. Hence, if we mix

5. Conclusion

This paper presents a data set on educational attainment for the population over age 15 and over age 25 at five-year intervals between 1960 and 2000 for a broad number of countries. The data provide the distribution of educational attainment of the population at seven levels of schooling and show a breakdown by sex.

This improved data set on educational attainment will continue to contribute to empirical research in many areas. Our data have been widely used to investigate the effects on economic growth of educational attainment, measured by overall years of schooling as well as by the composition of attainment at various levels of education. The data set has also been useful for studying the linkages across countries between education and other important economic and social variables, such as export competitiveness, fertility, income inequality, and political freedom.

There has been considerably more work in improving international measures of human capital. International test scores of students at the primary and secondary levels provide useful information on the quality of education. The International Adult Literacy Survey is a very promising attempt to measure directly the skills of the workforce for international comparison. However, these measures are at present restricted by the limited sample, which consists mostly of OECD countries. In this context, the data on educational attainment still provide the best available information about the amount of human capital stock for a broad number of countries.

them with the earlier UNESCO census data, it can cause inconsistency over time in an individual country's data.

Further improvements of the existing human capital estimates including the extension of the coverage of the TIMMS and IALS data to more developing countries must be important. This extension would make it possible to assess the interactions between the quantity and quality of education for a broad panel of countries. It would also be possible to study further the effects of human capital on economic performance and to see how these effects interact with the level of economic development.

References

- Barro R.J. (1999). 'Determinants of Democracy,' *Journal of Political Economy* 107, Supplement, S158-S183.
- Barro, R.J. (2000). 'Education and Economic Growth,' Working Paper, Harvard University.
- Barro, R.J. and Lee. J.W. (1993). 'International Comparisons of Educational Attainment,' *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 32, 363-94.
- Barro, R.J. and Lee, J.W. (1996). 'International Measures of Schooling Years and Schooling Quality,' *American Economic Review*, 86, 218-23.
- Blum, A., Goldstein, H., and Gu`rin-Pace, F. (2000), 'An Analysis of International Comparisons of Adult Literacy,' Working Paper, Institute of Education.
- Collins, S. and Bosworth, B. (1996). 'Economic Growth in East Asia: Accumulation versus Assimilation,' *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 2, 135-203.
- Easterly, W, and Levine, R. (1998). 'Africa's Growth Tragedy: Policies and Ethnic Divisions,' *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112, 1203-50.
- Gajraj, S. (1992). 'Primary Education- Age in Grade 1,' Working Paper, Division of Statistics, UNESCO.
- Gollop, F.M. and Jorgenson, D.W. (1980). 'U.S. Productivity Growth by Industry, 1947-1973, in *New Developments in Productivity Measurement*,' in J. Kendrick and B. Vaccara (eds), The University of Chicago Press.
- Jorgenson, D.W., Gollop F.M. and Fraumeni, B.M. (1987). *Productivity and U.S. Economic Growth*, Harvard University Press.
- Hall, R.E, and Jones, C.I. (1999). 'Why Do Some Countries Produce So Much More Output Per Worker Than Others?', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114, 83-116.
- Hanushek, E. and Kimko, D. (2000). 'Schooling, Labor Force Quality, and the Growth of Nations,' Working Paper,, Rochester Center for Economic Research.
- Lau, L., Jamison, D. and Louat, F. (1991). 'Education and Productivity in Developing Countries: an Aggregate Production Function Approach,' Report No. WPS 612, The World Bank.
- Lee, J.W. and Barro, R.J. (1997). 'Schooling Quality in a Cross-section of Countries,' NBER Working Paper No. 6198.

- Mulligan, C. B. and Sala-I-Martin, X. (1995). 'A Labor-Income Based Measure of the Value of Human Capital: An Application to the States of the United States,' NBER Working Paper No. 5018.
- Nehru, V., Swanson, E. and Dubey, A. (1995). 'A New Data Base on Human Capital Stock: Sources, Methodology, and Results,' *Journal of Development Economics*, 46, 379-401.
- OECD (1998a). *Human Capital Investment: An International Comparison*, Centre for Educational Research and Innovation,
- OECD (1998b). *Education At A Glance: OECD Indicators*, Centre for Educational Research and Innovation,
- OECD and Statistics Canada (1995). *Literacy, Economy and Society- Results of the First International Adult Literacy Survey*, Paris and Ottawa.
- OECD and Human Resources Development Canada (1998). *Literacy Skills for the Knowledge Society: Further Results from the International Adult Literacy Survey*, Paris and Ottawa.
- Pritchett, L. (1996). 'Where Has All the Education Gone?' Working Paper No. 1581, World Bank.
- Psacharopoulos, G. and Ariagada, A.M. (1986). 'The Educational Composition of the Labor Force: an International Comparison,' *International Labor Review*, 125, 561-74.
- Rajan, RG and Zingales, L. (1998). 'Financial Dependence and Growth,' *American Economic Review* 88, 559-86.
- Ramey, G, and Ramey, V.A. (1995). 'Cross-country Evidence on the Link Between Volatility and Growth,' *American Economic Review* 85, 1138-51.
- Sachs, J.D., and Warner, A. (1995). 'Economic Reform and The Process of Global Integration,' *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1-95.
- Steedman, H. (1999). 'Measuring the Quality of Educational Outputs: Some Unresolved Problems,' forthcoming in Alexander R., Broadfoot P, and Phillips D. (eds) *Learning from Comparing: New Directions in Comparative Education Research*, Triangle Books.
- Steedman, H. (2000). 'Looking Into the Qualifications 'Black Box': What Can International Surveys Tell Us About Basic Competence?' Working Paper, Centre For Economic Performance, London School of Economics and Political Science.

UNESCO, *Statistical Yearbook*, various years, Paris.

Table 1. Breakdown of number of countries by number of census-survey observations

Number of observations (1950-1995)	<u>Number of countries</u>	
	Population aged 25& over	Population aged 15& over
1	31	35
2	34	34
3	25	35
4	33	24
5	12	8
6	3	2
7	3	2
8	0	0
9	1	1
Total	142	141

Note: The data refer to census-survey observations for educational Attainment for the total and female populations in each age category.

Table 2. Breakdown of number of countries by number of census-survey year

Census-survey year (to the nearest 5-year value)	<u>Number of countries</u>	
	Population aged 25& over	Population aged 15& over
1950	25	16
1955	14	9
1960	58	59
1965	28	24
1970	73	62
1975	42	43
1980	76	75
1985	23	24
1990	61	56
1995	14	11
Total	414	379
Total 1960-95	375	354

Note: The data refer to census-survey observations for educational attainment for the total and female populations in each age category.

Table 3. Trends of educational attainment of the total population aged 25 and over by region

Region (no. of countries)	Year	Pop. over 25 (mill.)	No school	<u>Highest level attained</u>						Average years of school
				Primary total	Primary full	Secondary total	Secondary full	Higher total	Higher full	
World (107)	1960	1013	34.7	43.5	21.2	18.0	7.3	3.7	2.4	4.61
	1970	1197	32.7	40.7	20.7	21.3	9.2	5.3	3.5	5.08
	1980	1455	31.1	33.4	14.4	27.2	13.2	8.3	5.3	5.77
	1990	1803	27.6	31.0	13.5	29.5	13.0	11.9	7.7	6.43
	1995	1905	26.7	32.2	13.7	28.0	12.6	13.0	8.3	6.49
	2000	2109	24.7	33.2	13.9	27.8	12.4	14.3	9.1	6.72
All Developing (73)	1960	469	68.0	26.2	8.3	5.1	1.9	0.8	0.5	1.79
	1970	585	62.1	29.3	10.5	6.9	2.8	1.7	1.2	2.30
	1980	752	55.7	28.0	8.6	13.0	5.3	3.2	2.2	3.08
	1990	1004	46.9	31.5	11.2	16.3	7.3	5.3	3.6	3.97
	1995	1157	41.8	33.5	11.9	18.3	8.2	6.3	4.3	4.47
	2000	1327	37.2	35.9	12.8	19.7	8.8	7.2	4.9	4.89
Middle East/ North Africa (11)	1960	19	82.3	12.9	5.0	3.7	1.8	1.1	0.6	1.14
	1970	25	78.3	13.9	5.1	5.9	3.0	1.8	1.1	1.51
	1980	34	67.3	18.4	6.5	10.4	5.5	3.9	2.4	2.47
	1990	50	51.6	25.4	8.8	17.1	9.3	5.9	3.7	3.77
	1995	59	43.9	28.7	9.9	20.0	10.8	7.4	4.7	4.46
	2000	68	37.0	31.6	10.9	22.2	12.1	9.1	5.9	5.08
Sub-Saharan Africa (22)	1960	41	76.0	17.9	5.8	5.9	1.5	0.2	0.1	1.39
	1970	52	71.9	20.1	4.4	7.1	1.7	0.9	0.7	1.63
	1980	66	61.8	28.6	6.7	9.0	2.5	0.7	0.5	2.14
	1990	90	51.5	35.3	8.1	11.5	3.3	1.5	1.2	2.79
	1995	104	45.6	32.5	7.3	19.0	6.8	2.6	2.0	3.62
	2000	120	43.5	34.2	7.7	19.2	6.6	3.0	2.4	3.78
Latin Ameri./ Caribbean (23)	1960	82	38.7	49.3	13.1	10.0	3.8	2.0	1.3	3.13
	1970	104	35.7	50.9	17.4	10.9	4.5	2.6	1.7	3.49
	1980	139	28.4	53.2	13.4	12.9	5.4	5.5	3.6	4.07
	1990	186	20.6	53.9	15.5	16.6	7.0	8.9	5.8	4.97
	1995	215	19.2	51.8	14.8	18.4	7.8	10.5	6.9	5.38
	2000	246	17.7	50.6	14.4	19.9	8.4	11.8	7.7	5.73

Table 3--continued

Region (no. of countries)	Year	Pop. over 25 (mill.)	No school	Highest level attained						Average years of school
				Primary total	Primary full	Secondary total	Secondary full	Higher total	Higher full	
(Percentage of the population aged 25 and over)										
East Asia/ Pacific (10)	1960	79	61.3	31.4	15.0	5.7	2.3	1.6	1.1	2.26
	1970	100	43.7	43.8	17.4	9.7	4.7	2.8	1.8	3.29
	1980	131	30.1	49.4	17.3	15.6	8.0	4.8	3.2	4.39
	1990	178	33.1	35.1	18.3	24.1	13.6	7.6	5.2	5.35
	1995	205	27.1	36.6	18.9	26.2	15.0	10.1	6.8	6.03
	2000	233	22.8	37.7	19.3	27.4	15.6	12.1	8.1	6.50
South Asia (7)	1960	248	77.3	19.3	5.2	3.3	1.3	0.1	0.1	1.31
	1970	304	74.2	19.8	7.4	4.6	1.8	1.2	0.9	1.75
	1980	382	72.4	12.2	4.3	13.1	4.9	2.3	1.6	2.48
	1990	499	60.3	21.8	7.8	14.2	5.6	3.7	2.6	3.34
	1995	574	54.7	26.2	9.4	15.2	6.0	4.0	2.8	3.73
	2000	660	48.4	30.6	11.0	16.7	6.5	4.3	3.0	4.19
Advanced Countries (23)	1960	362	6.5	53.6	31.5	32.2	13.4	7.5	4.3	6.97
	1970	404	5.1	50.6	32.1	34.4	15.9	9.9	5.7	7.50
	1980	467	5.3	35.1	17.9	43.2	24.4	16.4	9.4	8.67
	1990	535	4.2	31.2	15.9	40.5	19.1	24.0	13.9	9.25
	1995	582	4.0	28.7	14.9	41.4	19.4	25.9	15.0	9.57
	2000	612	4.1	27.4	14.4	39.4	18.4	29.1	16.8	9.80
Transitional economies (11)	1960	182	5.3	67.9	33.7	22.9	9.3	3.9	3.4	7.17
	1970	207	3.7	53.4	27.3	36.5	14.4	6.4	5.5	8.18
	1980	236	3.6	47.4	25.8	40.5	15.9	8.5	7.4	8.65
	1990	264	1.6	28.4	17.3	57.3	22.6	12.7	11.0	10.02
	1995	167	1.4	35.9	22.4	48.4	19.1	14.2	12.3	9.72
	2000	170	1.5	33.0	20.5	49.1	19.3	16.4	14.1	9.95

Notes: Regional averages are weighted by each country's population. See Appendix Tables for the list of countries included in each region/group. Countries that do not have complete data at five-year intervals from 1960 to 2000 are excluded. Former Germany, East is classified in the Transitional economies group until 1990 and since then to the advanced countries group as the united Germany. Former Soviet Union countries except Russian Republic are excluded since 1995. Croatia, Czech Republic, Slovakia, and Slovenia are included in the group of transitional economies since 1995, replacing the former Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia. "Total" refers to the percentage of the population for whom the indicated level is the highest attained. "Full" refers to those who had the completion of the indicated level as the highest attained.

Table 4. Trends of educational attainment of the total population aged 15 and over by region

Region (no. of countries)	Year	Pop. over 15 (mill.)	No school	<u>Highest level attained</u>						Average years of school
				Primary total	Secondary total	Higher total	Primary full	Secondary full	Higher full	
World (107)	1960	1379	34.6	41.7	19.8	20.3	7.1	3.3	1.6	4.64
	1970	1660	31.4	39.6	19.9	24.0	7.8	5.0	2.2	5.16
	1980	2042	29.5	31.5	13.1	31.6	12.2	7.5	3.4	5.92
	1990	2480	26.4	29.8	12.3	33.5	11.9	10.3	5.1	6.43
	1995	2596	26.1	31.0	12.8	31.6	11.3	11.3	5.4	6.44
	2000	2846	24.2	31.9	12.9	31.4	11.1	12.6	6.0	6.66
All Developing (73)	1960	685	64.1	28.8	10.0	6.3	1.7	0.8	0.4	2.05
	1970	866	56.1	33.0	12.1	9.2	2.5	1.7	0.6	2.67
	1980	1138	49.7	29.5	9.3	17.6	5.8	3.1	1.2	3.57
	1990	1488	41.7	31.6	10.6	22.0	7.5	4.6	2.2	4.42
	1995	1684	38.3	32.5	11.2	23.5	8.0	5.7	2.6	4.79
	2000	1905	34.4	34.5	11.9	24.6	8.3	6.5	3.0	5.13
Middle East/ North Africa (11)	1960	28	81.0	12.9	5.2	5.2	1.6	0.9	0.4	1.23
	1970	37	69.8	18.0	6.6	10.5	3.2	1.7	0.6	2.07
	1980	52	55.5	23.1	8.1	17.8	5.8	3.6	1.4	3.29
	1990	76	42.8	27.8	9.6	23.7	8.2	5.6	2.3	4.38
	1995	89	36.0	29.9	10.3	27.0	9.3	7.2	2.8	4.98
	2000	103	32.0	29.6	10.2	29.5	10.2	8.8	3.4	5.44
Sub-Saharan Africa (22)	1960	66	68.9	24.4	7.0	6.4	1.5	0.2	0.2	1.74
	1970	85	63.8	26.9	6.2	8.5	1.8	0.8	0.5	2.07
	1980	112	56.8	32.5	7.4	10.0	1.4	0.6	0.3	2.39
	1990	151	45.9	38.1	8.5	14.6	1.9	1.3	0.6	3.14
	1995	176	44.5	37.4	8.2	16.0	2.4	2.1	0.9	3.39
	2000	204	42.8	38.1	8.3	17.0	2.5	2.2	0.9	3.52
Latin Ameri./ Caribbean (23)	1960	119	37.9	47.7	12.8	12.6	4.1	1.8	0.9	3.30
	1970	156	31.2	52.1	18.4	14.3	5.0	2.5	1.0	3.82
	1980	210	23.8	52.9	13.7	18.1	5.6	5.2	2.0	4.43
	1990	270	17.2	53.1	13.2	21.4	7.4	8.2	3.7	5.32
	1995	306	15.8	50.9	14.2	23.7	8.2	9.5	4.2	5.74
	2000	343	14.6	49.6	13.8	24.9	8.6	10.9	4.9	6.06

Table 4--*continued*

Region (no. of countries)	Year	Pop. over 15 (mill.)	No school (Percentage of the population aged 15 and over)	Highest level attained						Average years of school
				Primary total full	Secondary total full	Higher total full				
East Asia/ Pacific (10)	1960	116	52.5	37.4	19.4	8.5	2.8	1.6	0.8	2.83
	1970	148	35.4	48.9	20.0	13.0	4.1	2.7	1.2	3.80
	1980	198	22.6	50.4	18.9	21.9	10.1	5.0	2.2	5.10
	1990	259	26.4	36.1	18.3	30.0	13.1	7.4	3.4	5.84
	1995	289	22.5	36.0	17.9	31.4	14.5	10.0	4.4	6.35
	2000	320	19.8	35.8	18.1	32.7	14.8	11.7	5.0	6.71
South Asia (7)	1960	355	74.3	21.8	7.0	3.5	0.5	0.4	0.1	1.51
	1970	440	69.3	23.4	8.8	6.1	1.1	1.2	0.3	2.05
	1980	566	66.9	13.6	4.8	17.5	5.2	2.1	0.7	2.97
	1990	730	55.2	21.0	7.5	20.8	6.5	2.9	1.6	3.85
	1995	824	51.2	23.7	8.4	21.8	6.8	3.3	1.8	4.16
	2000	934	45.2	28.2	10.0	22.9	7.0	3.7	2.0	4.57
Advanced Countries (23)	1960	458	6.1	50.8	29.8	36.4	13.5	6.7	3.0	7.06
	1970	524	5.1	46.7	30.1	38.3	13.3	9.9	4.1	7.56
	1980	598	4.8	30.8	15.8	48.7	24.9	15.8	6.9	8.86
	1990	664	4.5	28.1	14.3	45.0	17.4	22.4	10.4	9.19
	1995	707	3.8	26.4	13.9	45.0	17.4	24.8	11.5	9.52
	2000	732	3.7	25.1	13.4	43.1	16.6	28.1	13.0	9.76
Transitional economies (11)	1960	236	4.5	61.6	28.8	30.0	10.3	3.8	2.2	7.42
	1970	270	3.1	47.1	24.9	43.5	14.1	6.3	3.5	8.47
	1980	307	2.8	40.0	21.9	50.1	11.5	7.7	4.5	8.90
	1990	328	1.7	25.4	15.6	61.7	20.9	11.2	7.7	9.97
	1995	205	2.1	35.1	22.0	51.4	17.3	11.4	7.7	9.45
	2000	210	2.2	32.4	20.2	51.6	17.4	13.9	9.4	9.68

Note: See table 3.

Table 5. Educational attainment by sex

Region (no. of countries)	Year	<u>Population age 25 and Over</u>			<u>Population age 15 and over</u>		
		<i>Average school year</i>			<i>Average school year</i>		
		Females (A)	Males (B)	Gender ratio (A/B,%)	Females (A)	Males (B)	Gender ratio (A/B,%)
World (107)	1960	4.28	4.96	86.4	4.31	4.98	86.7
	1970	4.65	5.54	83.9	4.74	5.59	84.7
	1980	5.27	6.31	83.6	5.42	6.43	84.3
	1990	5.84	7.03	83.1	5.93	6.94	85.5
	1995	5.94	7.05	84.3	5.94	6.95	85.4
	2000	6.18	7.28	84.9	6.13	7.19	85.3
All Developing (73)	1960	1.16	2.39	48.5	1.46	2.63	55.7
	1970	1.52	3.07	49.7	1.94	3.38	57.2
	1980	2.20	3.94	55.9	2.74	4.37	62.5
	1990	3.07	4.86	63.1	3.61	5.21	69.3
	1995	3.60	5.34	67.4	3.99	5.56	71.8
	2000	4.03	5.74	70.2	4.33	5.92	73.2
Middle East/ North Africa (11)	1960	0.77	1.51	51.3	0.83	1.63	51.0
	1970	0.93	2.11	43.9	1.39	2.75	50.5
	1980	1.64	3.31	49.7	2.41	4.15	58.0
	1990	2.73	4.80	56.9	3.57	5.17	69.1
	1995	3.45	5.47	63.1	4.21	5.74	73.3
	2000	4.09	6.06	67.5	4.69	6.17	76.0
Sub-Saharan Africa (22)	1960	1.04	1.76	59.3	1.34	2.17	61.8
	1970	1.17	2.12	55.4	1.56	2.60	60.1
	1980	1.52	2.81	54.0	1.91	2.89	66.0
	1990	2.16	3.45	62.6	2.49	3.83	65.0
	1995	2.92	4.36	66.9	2.82	3.98	70.8
	2000	3.14	4.45	70.5	3.01	4.04	74.4
Latin Ameri./ Caribbean (23)	1960	2.84	3.43	82.9	3.24	3.36	96.3
	1970	3.08	3.90	78.9	3.52	4.14	85.0
	1980	3.84	4.30	89.5	4.29	4.57	93.7
	1990	4.81	5.13	93.6	5.24	5.41	96.8
	1995	5.25	5.52	95.1	5.58	5.91	94.4
	2000	5.61	5.86	95.7	5.81	6.31	92.2

Table 5--continued

Region (no. of countries)	Year	<u>Population age 25 and Over</u>			<u>Population age 15 and over</u>		
		<i>Average school year</i>			<i>Average school year</i>		
		Females (A)	Males (B)	Gender ratio (A/B,%)	Females (A)	Males (B)	Gender ratio (A/B,%)
East Asia/ Pacific (10)	1960	1.49	3.04	49.0	2.10	3.58	58.8
	1970	2.40	4.19	57.2	3.06	4.54	67.5
	1980	3.55	5.23	67.9	4.41	5.80	76.1
	1990	4.63	6.09	76.1	5.33	6.36	83.7
	1995	5.38	6.70	80.3	5.85	6.84	85.6
	2000	5.92	7.09	83.5	6.20	7.23	85.8
South Asia (7)	1960	0.52	2.04	25.3	0.71	2.25	31.4
	1970	0.78	2.67	29.2	1.08	2.95	36.8
	1980	1.27	3.63	35.0	1.73	4.12	41.9
	1990	2.02	4.59	44.0	2.60	5.02	51.9
	1995	2.43	4.96	49.0	2.94	5.31	55.4
	2000	2.89	5.44	53.1	3.36	5.71	58.8
Advanced Countries (23)	1960	6.74	7.23	93.3	6.87	7.26	94.7
	1970	7.22	7.82	92.3	7.33	7.81	93.9
	1980	8.39	8.98	93.5	8.65	9.09	95.2
	1990	8.85	9.69	91.3	9.01	9.38	96.1
	1995	9.28	9.90	93.7	9.29	9.77	95.0
	2000	9.55	10.06	94.9	9.53	10.01	95.3
Transitional economies (11)	1960	6.70	7.80	85.9	7.01	7.95	88.2
	1970	7.74	8.74	88.6	8.09	8.93	90.6
	1980	8.21	9.20	89.2	8.50	9.36	90.7
	1990	9.65	10.47	92.2	9.69	10.30	94.0
	1995	9.76	9.67	100.9	9.67	9.20	105.1
	2000	10.01	9.87	101.5	9.89	9.44	104.7

Note: The average gender ratios for the whole "world" are downward-biased in 1995 and 2000 because since 1995 the "world" does not include former Soviet Union countries, which have relatively high gender ratios, except Russia. See Notes to Table 3.

**Table 6. International TESTS OF students (TIMMS) and adults (IALS), 1994-95:
mean scores and rank**

Country	<u>7th grade scores (TIMMS)</u>				<u>Scores of adult literacy test (IALS)</u>					
	Math.		Science		Prose		Document		Quantitative	
Australia	498	15	504	12	274.2	6	273.3	7	275.9	7
Austria	509	8	519	7						
Belgium (French)	507	10	442	29	271.8	8	278.2	5	282.0	4
Bulgaria	514	7	531	5						
Canada	494	18	499	13	278.8	3	279.3	4	281.0	5
Colombia	369	36	387	36						
Cyprus	446	31	420	34						
Czech Republic	523	5	533	3						
Denmark	465	25	439	30						
France	492	19	451	27						
Germany	484	20	499	14	275.9	4	285.1	3	293.3	2
Greece	440	32	449	28						
Hong Kong	564	4	495	15						
Hungary	502	12	518	8						
Iceland	459	28	462	25						
Iran, I.R. of	401	35	436	31						
Ireland	500	14	495	16	265.7	10	259.3	11	264.6	11
Japan	571	3	531	4						
Korea	577	2	535	2						
Latvia	462	26	435	32						
Lithuania	428	33	403	35						
Netherlands	516	6	517	9	282.7	2	286.9	2	287.7	3
New Zealand	472	23	481	23	275.2	5	269.1	8	270.7	9
Norway	461	27	483	22						
Poland					229.5	12	223.9	12	234.9	12
Portugal	423	34	428	33						
Romania	454	29	452	26						
Russian Federation	501	13	484	21						
Singapore	601	1	545	1						
Slovak Republic	508	9	510	10						
Slovenia	498	16	530	6						
South Africa	348	37	317	37						
Spain	448	30	477	24						
Sweden	477	21	488	19	301.3	1	305.6	1	305.9	1
Switzerland	506	11	484	20	264.8	11	274.1	6	280.1	6
Thailand	495	17	493	17						
U. K.	470	24	490	18	266.7	9	267.5	10	267.2	10
United States	476	22	508	11	273.7	7	267.9	9	275.2	8

Table 6. continued,

Notes: The TIMMS scores are expressed on proficiency scales (scales ranging from 0 to 1000, with a mean of 500 and a standard deviation of 100). The IALS test scores are evaluated on a scale of 0 to 500 in each of three domains. For the TIMMS score, Belgium is French-speaking region, and for the IALS score, Belgium is Belgium (Flanders), and Switzerland is French-speaking region. Italics indicate the rank of the country among the participating countries.

Source: IEA, Third International Mathematics and Science Study, 1994-1995, and OECD and Human Resources Development Canada (1998), *Literacy Skills for the Knowledge Society: Further Results from the International Adult Literacy Survey*, Paris and Ottawa.

Table 7. Barro-Lee and OECD estimates of educational attainment in 1995

	No school	Primary total	Barro-Lee estimates			Average years of school	OECD estimates		
			Secondary partial	Secondary full	Tertiary total		Below upper secondary	Upper secondary	Tertiary
<u>OECD</u>									
Australia	2	25	28	21	24	10.3	47	29	24
Austria	1	32	25	31	12	8.4	31	62	8
Belgium	5	49	20	10	16	8.6	47	29	25
Canada	2	20	16	13	49	11.2	25	28	47
Czech	1	35	33	22	9	9.3	17	73	11
Denmark	0	34	8	39	19	9.9	38	42	20
Finland	0	31	14	35	19	9.8	35	45	21
France	1	48	15	22	15	7.9	32	50	19
Germany	4	28	31	23	15	9.6	16	61	23
Greece	6	52	7	24	11	8.1	57	25	17
Iceland	2	48	21	16	13	8.4	--	--	--
Ireland	3	35	26	20	16	8.8	53	27	20
Italy	14	43	21	12	12	6.6	65	27	8
Japan	0	31	30	17	22	9.4	--	--	--
Luxembourg	--	--	--	--	--	--	71	18	11
Korea	9	18	16	36	21	10.1	40	42	18
Netherlands	3	32	32	14	19	9.0	39	39	22
New Zealand	0	34	18	9	39	11.3	41	34	25
Norway	1	12	22	44	21	11.8	19	53	29
Portugal	14	61	8	6	10	4.5	80	9	11
Spain	4	56	16	13	12	6.6	72	12	16
Sweden	2	18	15	44	21	11.2	25	46	28
Switzerland	5	26	24	31	15	10.2	18	61	21
Turkey	31	47	9	7	7	4.6	77	15	8
U. K.	3	41	27	13	16	9.0	24	54	21
United States	1	8	20	24	47	12.2	14	53	33
<u>Non-OECD</u>									
Argentina	6	53	15	10	16	8.1	73	18	9
Brazil	22	59	7	5	8	4.3	75	16	9
India	52	28	10	6	5	4.2	92	3	6
Indonesia	44	33	9	10	4	4.0	81	15	4
Malaysia	17	34	19	24	7	7.7	67	26	7
Paraguay	10	64	9	10	8	5.7	67	19	14
Thailand	20	62	5	4	9	5.7	87	3	11
Uruguay	3	54	23	9	10	6.9	73	12	14

Note: The table shows the percentages of the population for whom the indicated level is the highest attained. Barro-Lee data refer to the population aged 25 and above, and the OECD data are for the population of age between 25 and 64. The OECD data on the distribution of education attainment come from OECD, *Education at a Glance- OECD Indicators*, 1997, 1998.

Figure 1. Mean scores of TIMMS and IALS quantitative tests in OECD

